

*BEST COPY  
Available*

OCT 19 1963

# Bobby's Hand Elsewhere

By ROBERT S. ALLEN  
AND PAUL SCOTT



Mr. Allen

The so-called counter-insurgency program—President Kennedy's once loudly-touted plan to assist foreign governments to combat subversion and revolution — is undergoing exhaustive congressional scrutiny.

This unannounced investigation was instituted by Representative Clarence Cannon, D-Mo., veteran chairman of the powerful House Appropriations Committee.

Cannon decided an extensive inquiry was called for as the result of a number of disconcerting disclosures in the course of his committee's months-long closed-door hearings on the budgets of governmental agencies. Much of this very revealing testimony will never be published as it has been censored on the ground of being classified information.

But it can be reported that foremost among these backstage discoveries were:

Attorney General Robert Kennedy is the real boss of the Interdepartmental Committee on Counterinsurgency established by his brother, the President, to direct this program. Nominal chairman is Undersecretary of State U. Alexis Johnson, veteran career diplomat with wide experience in Iron Curtain countries. But the real ruler of the program is Bob Kennedy.

Large amounts of foreign aid and Central Intelligence Agency funds are being used to finance the worldwide activities of the counter-insurgency plan. Most of this money is being spent in organizing, training, equipping and maintaining special police (security) forces.

The Appropriations Committee has ascertained that \$3 million a year of such funds is being expended for this purpose in South Vietnam; more than \$615,000 in the Dominican Republic during the seven-month regime of deposed President Bosch; and around \$500,000 in Honduras, where President Villeda Morales was ousted by a military coup. In each of these government overthrows, the U.S.-financed and trained special police either did nothing or were wholly ineffectual.

Large sums are being spent for special counter-insurgency forces in Peru, Bolivia, Colombia and Venezuela—the last three seriously threatened by Communist terrorists on one hand and military takeovers on the other.

How Come and Why  
The House investigation is particularly interested in learning

the reason for Bobby Kennedy's exceptional interest in and formulation of the counter-insurgency program—in view of the fact that it operates abroad and largely in the military field.

This undercover situation is another instance of the young Attorney General's active participation in virtually everything that transpires in his brother's administration.

Bobby has his hand in every important policy and decision at home and abroad—regardless of whether it has anything to do with the Justice Department or not.

The investigators propose to uncover the extent and nature of his activities in the counterinsurgency program, which proved a dismal flop in Honduras and the Dominican Republic, and is under sharp attack in South Vietnam where the U.S.-financed special forces have been used by the Diem brothers to attack and jail thousands of Buddhist priests and students, and beat up American newsmen.

Answers are being sought by the House probes to such other pertinent questions as:

Total of foreign aid and CIA funds already spent on counter-insurgency operations in foreign countries.

Who makes these decisions and on what basis?

What reports if any have been compiled by the Interdepartment Committee on Counterinsurgency; who gets them and what is done about them—if anything?

Cause of the failure of the special police forces in Honduras and the Dominican Republic to avert or resist the military coups.

A full account of the tug-of-war between the Diem brothers and State Department officials, headed by Undersecretary Averell Harriman, for control of the special force in South Vietnam, numbering some 13,000. The Diems installed their own commander, Colonel Le Quang Tung, who led the violent crackdown on the Buddhists and students. The State Department, with President Kennedy's approval, tried to replace him, but so far to no avail.

In addition to Chairman Alexis Johnson and Attorney General Robert Kennedy, other members of the Interdepartmental Counterinsurgency Committee are General Maxwell Taylor, head of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, CIA Director John McCone, Foreign Aid Director David Bell, and McGeorge Bundy, special foreign aid adviser to the President.

ILLEGIB

June 20, 1963

*Secret*

Hon. Kermit Gordon  
Director  
Bureau of the Budget  
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Mr. Director:

During July, the Central Intelligence Agency should proceed with regular activities on the basis of a joint resolution continuing appropriations, expected to be enacted next week.

In addition, the Agency is authorized to proceed with the program discussed in the hearing, which contemplates withdrawal from Reserves, up to

25X1

Final determination on appropriations for the Agency will be provided upon completion of Committee analysis of budget estimates.

Sincerely,

(A1)

Clarence Cannon, Chairman

cc: Senate  
Agency

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

25X1

Bolton, Frances P.  
Bolton, Oliver P.  
Bow  
Brademas  
Bray  
Bromwell  
Brooks  
Brotzman  
Brown, Calif.  
Brown, Ohio  
Bruce  
Burke  
Burkhalter  
Byrne, Pa.  
Byrnes, Wis.  
Cahill  
Cannon  
Carey  
Cederberg  
Celler  
Chamberlain  
Chelf  
Chenoweth  
Clancy  
Clark  
Lawson, Del.  
Cleveland  
Cotte  
Corman  
Cunningham  
Curtin  
Curtis  
Daddario  
Dague  
Daniels  
Dawson  
Deaney  
Dent  
Denton  
Derounian  
Devine  
Diggs  
Dingell  
Dole  
Donohue  
Duncan  
Dwyer  
Edmondson  
Edwards  
Fallon  
Farbstein  
Fascell  
Findley  
Finnegan  
Flood  
Fogarty  
Ford  
Fraser  
Friedel  
Fulton, Pa.  
Fulton, Tenn.  
Garmatz  
Gialmo  
Gibbons  
Gilbert  
Gill  
Glenn  
Gonzalez  
Goodell  
Goodling  
Grabowski  
Gray  
Green, Oreg.  
Griffin  
Gross  
Grover  
Gubser  
Hagen, Calif.  
Halleck  
Halpern

Hanna  
Hansen  
Harding  
Harrison  
Harsha  
Harvey, Ind.  
Hawkins  
Hays  
Healey  
Hechler  
Hoeven  
Holtfield  
Holland  
Horan  
Horton  
Hosmer  
Hull  
Hutchinson  
Ichord  
Jennings  
Jensen  
Jolson  
Johansen  
Johnson, Wis.  
Karsten  
Karth  
Kastenmeier  
Kee  
Keith  
Kelly  
Keogh  
Kilgore  
King, Calif.  
King, N.Y.  
Kirwan  
Knox  
Kunkel  
Kyl  
Laird  
Langen  
Latta  
Leggett  
Lesinski  
Libonati  
Lindsay  
Lipscomb  
McClary  
McCulloch  
McDade  
McIntire  
McLoskey  
MacGregor  
Madden  
Martin, Nebr.  
May  
Meador  
Miller, Calif.  
Miller, N.Y.  
Milliken  
Minish  
Minshall  
Monagan  
Montoya  
Moore  
Moorehead  
Morgan  
Morris  
Morse  
Multer  
Natcher  
Nelsen  
Nix  
O'Brien, N.Y.  
O'Hara, Ill.  
O'Hara, Mich.  
O'Konski  
Olson, Mont.  
Olson, Minn.  
O'Neill  
Ostertag  
Patten  
Pelly

Pepper  
Ferkins  
Philbin  
Pike  
Pillion  
Pirnie  
Powell  
Price  
Fucinski  
Quile  
Randall  
Reid, Ill.  
Rhodes, Ariz.  
Rhodes, Pa.  
Rich  
Riehlman  
Elvers, Alaska  
Robison  
Rodino  
Rogers, Colo.  
Rooney, N.Y.  
Rooney, Pa.  
Roosevelt  
Rosenthal  
Rostenkowski  
Roudebush  
Roush  
Rumsfeld  
Ryan, Mich.  
St. George  
Saylor  
Schadeberg  
Schneebeli  
Schweiker  
Schwengel  
Secrest  
Sienner  
Shipley  
Short  
Shriver  
Sickles  
Sisk  
Skubitz  
Slack  
Smith, Calif.  
Smith, Iowa  
Snyder  
Springer  
Staebler  
Stafford  
Staggers  
Steed  
Stinson  
Stratton  
Taft  
Talcott  
Teague, Calif.  
Thomas  
Thompson, N.J.  
Toll  
Tollefson  
Udall  
Utt  
Van Deerlin  
Vanik  
Wallhauser  
Weaver  
Weltner  
Westland  
Wharton  
Widnall  
Wilson, Bob  
Wilson,  
Charles H.  
Wilson, Ind.  
Wright  
Wyder  
Wyman  
Young  
Younger

## NAYS—80

Abbott  
Abernethy  
Alger  
Andrews  
Ashmore  
Baring  
Beckworth  
Bennett, Fla.  
Bonner  
Brook  
Broyhill, N.C.  
Broyhill, Va.  
Burlison  
Colmer  
Cooley  
Cramer  
Davis, Ga.  
Dorn  
Dowdy  
Downing  
Elliott  
Everett  
Evins

Flynt  
Forrester  
Fountain  
Fuqua  
Gary  
Gathings  
Grant  
Gurney  
Hagan, Ga.  
Haley  
Harris  
Hebert  
Hemphill  
Henderson  
Herlong  
Huddleston  
Jarman  
Jonas  
Jones, Ala.  
Kornegay  
Landrum  
Lennon  
McMillan

Mahon  
Marsh  
Matthews  
Murray  
Passman  
Patman  
Poage  
Poff  
Pool  
Purcell  
Quillen  
Rains  
Rivers, S.C.  
Roberts, Ala.  
Roberts, Tex.  
Rogers, Fla.  
Rogers, Tex.  
Scott  
Selden  
Sikes  
Smith, Va.  
Stephens  
Taylor

Teague, Tex.  
Thompson, Tex.  
Trimble  
Tuck

Tuten  
Waggonner  
Watson  
Whitener

Whitten  
Williams  
Winstead

## NOT VOTING—88

Arends  
Baker  
Battin  
Bennett, Mich.  
Berry  
Boggs  
Broomfield  
Buckley  
Burton  
Cameron  
Casey  
Clausen.  
Don H.  
Cohelan  
Collier  
Corbett  
Davis, Tenn.  
Derwinski  
Dulski  
Ellsworth  
Feighan  
Fino  
Fisher  
Foreman  
Frelinghuysen  
Gallagher  
Green, Pa.  
Griffiths  
Hall  
Hardy

Harvey, Mich.  
Hoffman  
Johnson, Calif.  
Jones, Mo.  
Kilburn  
Kluczynski  
Lankford  
Lloyd  
Long, La.  
Long, Md.  
McDowell  
McFall  
Macdonald  
Mailliard  
Martin, Calif.  
Martin, Mass.  
Mathias  
Matsunaga  
Michel  
Mills  
Morrison  
Morton  
Mosher  
Moss  
Murphy, Ill.  
Murphy, N.Y.  
Nedzi  
Norblad  
O'Brien, Ill.  
Osmers

Pilcher  
Reid, N.Y.  
Reifel  
Reuss  
Roybal  
Ryan, N.Y.  
St Germain  
St. Onge  
Schenck  
Shelley  
Sheppard  
Sibal  
Siler  
Stubblefield  
Sullivan  
Thompson, La.  
Thomson, Wis.  
Thornberry  
Tupper  
Ullman  
Van Pelt  
Vinson  
Watts  
Whalley  
White  
Wickersham  
Willis  
Zablocki

So (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the resolution was agreed to.

The Clerk announced the following pairs:

On this vote:  
Mr. Lankford and Mr. St. Onge for, with Mr. Hardy against.  
Mr. Buckley and Mr. Shelley for, with Mr. Fisher against.  
Mr. Green of Pennsylvania and Mrs. Sullivan for, with Mr. Morrison against.  
Mr. Cameron and Mr. Sheppard for, with Mr. Boggs against.  
Mr. Zablocki and Mr. Murphy of Illinois for, with Mr. Willis against.  
Mr. Arends and Mr. Watts for, with Mr. Davis of Tennessee against.  
Mr. St Germain and Mr. Dulski for, with Mr. Mills against.  
Mr. Ryan of New York and Mr. McFall for, with Mr. Thompson of Louisiana against.  
Mr. Moss and Mr. White for, with Mr. Vinson against.  
Mr. Cohelan and Mr. Kluczynski for, with Mr. Long of Louisiana against.

## Until further notice:

Mr. Johnson of California with Mr. Bennett of Michigan.  
Mr. Reuss with Mr. Schenck.  
Mr. Feighan with Mr. Don H. Clausen.  
Mrs. Griffiths with Mr. Berry of South Dakota.  
Mr. Nedzi with Mr. Michel.  
Mr. Murphy of New York with Mr. Osmers.  
Mr. Ullman with Mr. Kilburn.  
Mr. Stubblefield with Mr. Mosher.  
Mr. Casey with Mr. Collier.  
Mr. MacDonald with Mr. Hall.  
Mr. McDowell with Mr. Baker.  
Mr. O'Brien of Illinois with Mr. Mathias.  
Mr. Gallagher with Mr. Morton.  
Mr. Roybal with Mr. Reid of New York.  
Mr. Wickersham with Mr. Broomfield.  
Mr. Matsunaga with Mr. Ellsworth.  
Mr. Pilcher with Mr. Frelinghuysen.  
Mr. Long of Maryland with Mr. Reifel.  
Mr. Thornberry with Mr. Mailliard.  
Mr. Corbett with Mr. Hoffman.  
Mr. Tupper with Mr. Martin, of Massachusetts.  
Mr. Foreman with Mr. Battin.  
Mr. Sibal with Mr. Thomson of Wisconsin.  
Mr. Norblad with Mr. Siler.  
Mr. Harvey of Michigan with Mr. Van Pelt.  
Mr. Lloyd with Mr. Martin of California.  
Mr. Burton with Mr. Derwinski.  
Mr. Fino with Mr. Derwinski.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

## INDEPENDENT OFFICES APPROPRIATION BILL, 1964

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that the Committee on Appropriations may have until midnight tonight to file a report on the independent offices appropriation bill, 1964.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Texas?

There was no objection.

Mr. OSTERTAG reserved all points of order on the bill.

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATION BILL, 1964

Mr. MAHON submitted the following conference report and statement on the bill (H.R. 7179) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1964, and for other purposes:

## CONFERENCE REPORT (H. REPT. No. 812)

The Committee of Conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 7179) "making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1964, and for other purposes," having met, after full and free conference, have agreed to recommend and do recommend to their respective Houses as follows:

That the Senate recede from its amendment numbered 7.

That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendments of the Senate numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 10, 13, 17, 18, 22, 23 and 26 and agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 8: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 6, and agreed to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$45,000,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 12: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 12, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$3,369,071,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 14: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 14, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$2,913,600,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 15: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 15, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$191,325,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 16: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 16, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$4,355,500,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 19: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 19, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amend-

17910

## CONGRESSIONAL RECORD — HOUSE

October 7

ment insert "\$2,889,145,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 21: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 21, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$3,385,575,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 24: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 24, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$1,386,141,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

Amendment numbered 25: That the House recede from its disagreement to the amendment of the Senate numbered 25, and agree to the same with an amendment, as follows: In lieu of the sum proposed by said amendment insert "\$3,453,376,000"; and the Senate agree to the same.

The committee of conference report in disagreement—amendments numbered 9, 11, 20 and 27.

RICHARD B. RUSSELL,  
CARL HAYDEN,  
LISTER HILL,  
JOHN L. MCCLELLAN,  
ALLEN J. ELLENDER,  
HARRY F. BYRD,  
LEVERETT SALTONSTALL,  
MILTON YOUNG,  
MARGARET CHASE SMITH,

*Managers on the Part of the Senate.*

GEORGE MAHON,  
HARRY R. SHEPPARD,  
CLARENCE CANNON,  
GERALD R. FORD,  
HAROLD C. OSTERTAG,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

## STATEMENT

The managers on the part of the House at the conference on the disagreeing votes of the two Houses on the amendments of the Senate to the bill (H.R. 7179) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1964, and for other purposes, submit the following statement in explanation of the effect of the action agreed upon and recommended in the accompanying conference report as to each of such amendments, namely:

## TITLE I—MILITARY PERSONNEL

*Military personnel, Army*

Amendment No. 1: Provides \$100,000,000 by transfer from the Army stock fund and defense stock fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$125,000,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 2: Provides \$50,000,000 by transfer from the Army industrial fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$65,000,000 as proposed by the House.

*Military personnel, Navy*

Amendment No. 3: Provides \$30,000,000 by transfer from the defense stock fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$37,000,000 from the Navy stock fund and the defense stock fund as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 4: Strikes language providing for transfer from Navy stock fund as proposed by the Senate.

Amendment No. 5: Provides for transfer of \$90,000,000 from the Navy industrial fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$110,000,000 as proposed by the House.

*Military personnel, Air Force*

Amendment No. 6: Provides for transfer of \$45,000,000 from the Air Force stock fund and the defense stock fund instead of \$20,000,000 by transfer from the defense stock fund as proposed by the Senate and \$175,000,000 by transfer from the Air Force stock fund and the defense stock fund as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 7: Restores language proposed by the House providing for transfers from the Air Force stock fund.

Amendment No. 8: Provides for transfer of \$10,000,000 from the Air Force industrial fund as proposed by the Senate instead of \$35,000,000 as proposed by the House.

*Reserve personnel, Army*

Amendment No. 9: Reported in disagreement.

*National Guard personnel, Army*

Amendment No. 10: Appropriates \$242,800,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$240,300,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 11: Reported in disagreement.

It is the intent of the committee on conference, in providing the amounts indicated in amendments numbers 1 through 8, inclusive, to provide for carrying out the program of military personnel strengths proposed in the budget estimates. It is understood that adjustments may be sought in connection with the supplemental estimates covering the costs of the recently enacted military pay increase.

## TITLE II—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

*Operation and maintenance, Army*

Amendment No. 12: Appropriates \$3,369,071,000 instead of \$3,361,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,375,643,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Operation and maintenance, Navy*

Amendment No. 13: Provides a limitation of \$7,800,000 for emergency and extraordinary expenses as proposed by the Senate instead of \$7,400,000 as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 14: Appropriates \$2,913,600,000 instead of \$2,905,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,919,200,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Operation and maintenance, Marine Corps*

Amendment No. 15: Appropriates \$191,325,000 instead of \$191,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$191,650,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Operation and maintenance, Air Force*

Amendment No. 16: Appropriates \$4,355,500,000 instead of \$4,341,000,000 as proposed by the House and \$4,370,000,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Operation and maintenance, Army National Guard*

Amendment No. 17: Appropriates \$180,800,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$176,600,000 as proposed by the House.

## TITLE III—PROCUREMENT

*Procurement of equipment and missiles, Army*

Amendment No. 18: Appropriates \$2,931,094,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,958,894,000 as proposed by the House.

*Procurement of aircraft and missiles, Navy*

Amendment No. 19: Appropriates \$2,889,145,000 instead of \$2,877,445,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,928,845,000 as proposed by the Senate. The committee of conference has deleted the funds restored by the Senate for the EA6A aircraft. The program presented to the Committee on Appropriations for the modification of existing aircraft to the proposed EA6A configuration is approved and should be accomplished with funds presently available in this appropriation item. Funds restored to the bill by the Senate in the amount of \$10,200,000 for the Gulfstream aircraft are deleted. Funds restored to the bill by the Senate in the amount of \$11,700,000 for the T2B trainer aircraft are approved.

*Shipbuilding and conversion, Navy*

Amendment No. 20: Reported in disagreement. The managers on the part of the House will offer a motion to appropriate \$2,059,589,000 instead of \$2,080,089,000 as proposed by the House and \$2,068,089,000 as proposed by the Senate.

*Aircraft procurement, Air Force*

Amendment No. 21: Appropriates \$3,385,575,000 instead of \$3,328,900,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,395,075,000 as proposed by the Senate. The conferees have reduced by \$9,500,000 the funds restored by the Senate for the C-141 aircraft. This is to be applied to the procurement of spare parts and is not to interfere with the number of aircraft programed in the bill as approved by the Senate.

*Missile procurement, Air Force*

Amendment No. 22: Appropriates \$2,141,990,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$2,129,490,000 as proposed by the House.

*Other procurement, Air Force*

Amendment No. 23: Appropriates \$878,299,000 as proposed by the Senate instead of \$871,299,000 as proposed by the House.

## TITLE IV—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

*Research, development, test, and evaluation, Army*

Amendment No. 24: Appropriates \$1,386,141,000 instead of \$1,368,141,000 as proposed by the House and \$1,391,141,000 as proposed by the Senate. The committee of conference approves an increase of \$23,000,000 for the defense communication satellite as proposed by the Senate and is agreed that \$5,000,000 above the House amount be restored to the LANCE missile program to be offset by a corresponding reduction in an aircraft development program.

*Research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force*

Amendment No. 25: Appropriates \$3,453,376,000 instead of \$3,416,146,000 as proposed by the House and \$3,483,376,000 as proposed by the Senate. The increase above the House amount includes \$30,000,000 for the mobile medium range ballistic missile program and \$7,230,000 for a classified project.

## TITLE V—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Amendment No. 26: Provides for a limitation of 20 per centum on indirect expenses in connection with research grants as proposed by the Senate instead of 25 per centum as proposed by the House.

Amendment No. 27: Reported in disagreement.

GEORGE MAHON,  
HARRY R. SHEPPARD,  
CLARENCE CANNON,  
GERALD R. FORD,  
HAROLD C. OSTERTAG,

*Managers on the Part of the House.*

## PARLIAMENTARY INQUIRY

Mr. HALLECK. Mr. Speaker, I would like to address a parliamentary inquiry.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman will state his parliamentary inquiry.

Mr. HALLECK. I believe the Consent Calendar has been scheduled for consideration. I am wondering if we can follow along with the Consent Calendar now, because on our side some of the objectors necessarily will have to be absent later on this afternoon.

The SPEAKER. The Chair intended to recognize Members for unanimous-consent requests and then proceed to the Consent Calendar.

## WAIVER OF INDEBTEDNESS BY ADMINISTRATOR OF VETERANS' AFFAIRS

Mr. TEAGUE of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to take from